

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,109	11/25/2003	Marc O. Schurr	2757/101	4848
2101 . 7590 12/20/2006 BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP			EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER	···		MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Community	10/722,109	SCHURR, MARC O.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 13-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication and accomplication accomplication and accomplication acco	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	. <u> </u>		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 13-17 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Lambert et al. and Smit. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Lines 5 and 8 of claim 13 each recite "second tube-like end section". "End" should be deleted in lines 5 and 8, or all recitations of "tube like sections" should be changed to "tube like end sections".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

6. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert et al. <u>Intestinal absorption of vitamin B12 in rats with gastric reaction or gastric diversion</u> (abstract provided) in view of Smit US PN 4315509.

- 7. Lambert et al. disclose a method of treating obesity comprising performing a stomach bypass in which the esophagus is connected to the small intestine loop while allowing stomach secretions to reach the intestine. Lambert et al. fail to disclose that an adjustable hollow body implant is connected within the esophagus to connect the esophagus and small intestine. Smit teach a gastric bypass implant comprising an adjustable hollow body implant for connecting within different regions of the GI tract while allowing stomach secretions to reach the intestine. See Smit figures 1-2, c5:23-29, c6:39-53, and c7:25-52.
- 8. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method disclosed by Lambert et al. to connect the esophagus and stomach with an adjustable hollow body implant as taught by Smit in order to reduce absorption of food in the stomach.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,109

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William H. Matthews (Howie)

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3738